

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | 10. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/772,756 | | 02/05/2004 | Nathaniel S. Fox | 04017.00071 | 5695 | |
| 22908 | 7590 | 05/16/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | OFF, LTD. | MAYO, TARA L | | | |
| SUITE 30 | | CER DRIVE | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| CHICAG | O, IL 606 | 06 | 3671 | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/16/200: | DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--|--------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summers | 10/772,75 | 6 | FOX ET AL. | | | | | |
| • | Office Action Summary | Examiner | - | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Tara L. Ma | · | 3671 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sind | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 February 2005. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition o | of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) (5) | Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application F | Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority unde | r 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | References Cited (PTO-892) Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 | 48) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Information | Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/s)/Mail Date | | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | O-152) | | | | |

W

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The prior objections to the Abstract have been overcome by the response filed 10 February 2005.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: potentially improper multiple dependent. In claim 12 on line 1, immediately following "any" and prior to "of" insert --one-- or make an equivalent change thereto. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claim 6, the scope of the claimed invention is indefinite because it is unclear if the step of "vibrating" is related or in addition to the step of "moving" or "imparting lateral forces" as recited in claim 1.

Terminal Disclaimer

Application/Control Number: 10/772,756

Art Unit: 3671

5. The terminal disclaimer filed on 10 February 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term defined in 35 USC 154 and 173 of prior patent nos. 6,425,713 and 6,688,815 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.

Page 3

- 6. The enforceable during common ownership clause is defective because on the sixth line of the terminal disclaimer the word "patent" (first occurrence) should be made plural, to clearly include all patents causing the double patenting rejection.
- 7. It should be noted that applicant is <u>not</u> required to pay another disclaimer fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d) when submitting a replacement or supplemental terminal disclaimer.

Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 (and 18), 3 (and 19), 12,

7 (and 20), 23, 26, 14 (and 27) and 11 (and 24) of U.S. Patent No. 6,425,716. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the repetition of steps b and c, as required by claim 1 of the instant application, has not patentable significance because a new and/or unexpected result is not produced.

10. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 (and 16, 17 and 18), 2, 4, 7 (and 13), 8, 9, 10 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,688,815. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the repetition of steps b and c, as required by claim 1 of the instant application, has no patentable significance because a new and/or unexpected result is not produced.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/772,756

Art Unit: 3671

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 571-272-6992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

07 May 2005

Thornas B. WIII
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600